Actionable Resilience

Abstract

The US Community Disaster Resilience Zoning Act is a clear call to civil engineers to act on community resilience. Are we ready to engineer communities for more resilience? In this talk, I will argue that we are. I submit that we can build on the methods and practice of performance-based design to develop the principles, methods, and practice of resilience-based design and, thus, make resilience actionable.

Performance-based design (PBD) in general, and specifically seismic PBD, advanced significantly in the past four decades. PBD facilitates a risk-informed tradeoff between the engineering characteristics of the structure, the damage states it may undergo during an earthquake, and its life-cycle costs from construction to repairs after earthquakes. PBD saves lives in catastrophes: however, events in the past decade showed the increasing relative importance of the functional recovery of structures after earthquakes.

Functional recovery of a building in a city after a disaster does not happen in a vacuum. First, there are many simultaneously recovering buildings in the affected region sharing the recovery resources. Second, the infrastructure systems that support the functions of a structure need to recover their own functions after a disaster, too. These interdependencies make the transition from designing single structures to be safe and functional to designing communities and cities to be more resilient quite challenging.

Modeling, analyzing and quantifying the disaster resilience of cities is the basis for resilience-based design (RBD). The recently developed software, such as pyrecipes and IN-CORE, build on vulnerability models buildings and infrastructure systems components, add the notion of functional recovery, and, crucially, model a city as a system-of-interdependent-systems. These systems are the infrastructure systems, the portfolios of buildings that provide housing for the population as well as spaces for learning, working and societal interaction, and the recovery resources fielded by the community in the recovery effort. This NIST Community Resilience Planning Guide encapsulates the resilience metrics and the design objectives’ structure to define RBD. In this talk I will connect seismic PBD of buildings to seismic RBD of cities, and address quantifying resilience, modeling the community systems and interdependencies, and analyzing the recovery process to constitute the set of engineering methods and tools for resilience-based design of communities.
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